

icivics supreme court nominations explained

icivics supreme court nominations explained is an essential topic for understanding the intricate process of appointing justices to the highest court in the United States. This article provides a comprehensive overview of how Supreme Court nominations occur, the roles of the president and the Senate, and the significance of these appointments in shaping American jurisprudence. By exploring the constitutional framework, historical precedents, and the confirmation process, readers gain insight into the political and legal dynamics that influence each nomination. Furthermore, this guide highlights the impact of Supreme Court justices on pivotal legal decisions and public policy. Whether you are a student, educator, or engaged citizen, understanding icivics supreme court nominations explained deepens your grasp of American government and the balance of powers. The following sections break down the nomination journey step-by-step for clarity and context.

- The Constitutional Basis of Supreme Court Nominations
- The Role of the President in Nominating Justices
- The Senate Confirmation Process
- Key Factors Influencing Supreme Court Nominations
- The Impact of Supreme Court Appointments on American Law

The Constitutional Basis of Supreme Court Nominations

The process of Supreme Court nominations is grounded in the United States Constitution, specifically Article II, Section 2. This section grants the president the authority to nominate justices to the Supreme Court, with the advice and consent of the Senate. The framers of the Constitution designed this system to ensure a balance of power between the executive and legislative branches, preventing any single branch from having unchecked control over the judiciary.

This constitutional framework establishes the foundation for the nomination and confirmation process, emphasizing collaboration and oversight. The Senate's role serves as a check on the president's power, requiring a majority vote to confirm a nominee. This mechanism is critical for maintaining the independence and legitimacy of the Supreme Court.

Historical Context of the Nomination Process

Throughout American history, the nomination and confirmation of Supreme Court justices have evolved. Early in the nation's history, nominees were often confirmed with little opposition, reflecting a more collaborative political environment. Over time, however, the process has become more politicized, with increased scrutiny on nominees' judicial philosophies and backgrounds.

Understanding the constitutional basis alongside the historical evolution provides insight into why Supreme Court nominations explained is a complex and highly significant process in American governance.

The Role of the President in Nominating Justices

The president plays a central role in the Supreme Court nomination process, as the initiator of candidates for judicial appointment. When a vacancy arises on the Court, whether due to retirement, death, or resignation, the president is responsible for selecting a nominee. This choice is influenced by various considerations, including legal qualifications, ideological alignment, and political strategy.

Presidents often consult with advisors, legal experts, and senators, particularly those from their own party, to identify potential nominees who align with their administration's goals and judicial philosophy. The president's choice can have long-lasting implications for the Court's ideological balance.

Criteria Presidents Use to Select Nominees

Presidents typically evaluate several key criteria when selecting a Supreme Court nominee:

- **Legal Expertise:** A strong record of judicial or legal experience is paramount.
- **Ideological Compatibility:** Presidents seek nominees whose judicial philosophy aligns with their own political and policy goals.
- **Diversity Considerations:** Increasingly, presidents consider diversity in terms of gender, race, ethnicity, and professional background.
- **Confirmability:** The likelihood of Senate approval influences the selection.
- **Age and Tenure:** Younger nominees can serve longer, extending the president's influence on the Court.

The Senate Confirmation Process

Once the president nominates a candidate, the Senate undertakes the task of confirming or rejecting the nominee. This process is designed to ensure that the nominee is thoroughly

vetted and deemed fit to serve on the nation's highest court. The Senate Judiciary Committee plays a crucial role in this phase by conducting hearings, questioning the nominee, and evaluating their qualifications and judicial record.

Following the committee's review, the full Senate debates the nomination and ultimately votes on whether to confirm the nominee. A simple majority is needed for confirmation. This process can be swift or protracted, depending on the political climate and the nominee's background.

Steps in the Senate Confirmation Process

1. **Referral to Judiciary Committee:** The nomination is sent to the Senate Judiciary Committee for preliminary review.
2. **Committee Hearings:** The nominee testifies before the committee, answering questions about their qualifications and judicial philosophy.
3. **Committee Vote:** The committee votes to recommend approval or rejection to the full Senate.
4. **Senate Debate:** Senators debate the nomination on the Senate floor.
5. **Final Vote:** The Senate votes to confirm or reject the nominee by a simple majority.

Key Factors Influencing Supreme Court Nominations

Several external and internal factors influence the nomination and confirmation of Supreme Court justices. Political considerations are often paramount, as the Court's decisions have profound effects on national policy. Public opinion, media coverage, and interest group advocacy also play significant roles in shaping the process.

Additionally, the timing of a vacancy, such as proximity to elections or the current political composition of the Senate, can affect both the president's choice and the confirmation outcome.

Political and Social Considerations

- **Political Party Control:** The party controlling the presidency and Senate heavily influences the nomination dynamics.
- **Judicial Philosophy:** Nominees' views on constitutional interpretation often reflect broader ideological battles.

- **Public Opinion:** High-profile nominations can attract significant public attention, impacting senators' votes.
- **Interest Groups:** Various organizations may support or oppose nominees based on perceived policy impacts.
- **Senate Composition:** The makeup and willingness of senators to confirm a nominee affect the process.

The Impact of Supreme Court Appointments on American Law

Supreme Court nominations have a lasting impact on American law and society. Justices serve lifetime appointments, meaning their judicial decisions can influence legal precedent and public policy for decades. The Court addresses critical issues ranging from civil rights to economic regulation, making each appointment a matter of national importance.

The ideological balance of the Court can shift with each new justice, affecting rulings on landmark cases. This underscores why understanding civics supreme court nominations explained is vital for comprehending the broader implications of this constitutional process.

Examples of Influential Supreme Court Justices

- **John Marshall:** Established the principle of judicial review, shaping the role of the Court.
- **Thurgood Marshall:** The first African American justice, known for advancing civil rights.
- **Ruth Bader Ginsburg:** Advocated for gender equality and women's rights.
- **Antonin Scalia:** Promoted originalism and textualism in constitutional interpretation.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is iCivics' explanation of Supreme Court nominations?

iCivics explains Supreme Court nominations as a process where the President selects a nominee to fill a vacancy on the Supreme Court, and the Senate must then confirm the nominee through hearings and a vote.

Why are Supreme Court nominations important according to iCivics?

iCivics highlights the importance of Supreme Court nominations because justices serve lifetime appointments, influencing major legal decisions and the interpretation of the Constitution for decades.

How does the Senate confirm Supreme Court nominees as explained by iCivics?

According to iCivics, the Senate confirms nominees by holding Judiciary Committee hearings to question the nominee, followed by a committee vote and then a full Senate vote requiring a simple majority for confirmation.

What role does the President play in Supreme Court nominations according to iCivics?

iCivics explains that the President has the power to nominate a candidate for the Supreme Court when a vacancy occurs, typically choosing someone who shares their judicial philosophy.

What factors can influence the confirmation process of Supreme Court nominees, based on iCivics?

iCivics notes that political considerations, public opinion, the nominee's qualifications, and past rulings can all influence the Senate's confirmation process.

How does iCivics describe the lifetime tenure of Supreme Court justices?

iCivics explains that Supreme Court justices are appointed for life, meaning they serve until they retire, resign, pass away, or are impeached, which underscores the significance of each nomination.

What educational tools does iCivics provide to understand Supreme Court nominations?

iCivics offers interactive games, lesson plans, and videos that help students and the public learn about the nomination process, the roles of the President and Senate, and the impact of Supreme Court decisions.

Additional Resources

1. Understanding Supreme Court Nominations: A Citizen's Guide

This book breaks down the complex process of Supreme Court nominations in a clear and accessible manner. It explores the roles of the President, the Senate, and interest groups in

selecting justices. Perfect for students and citizens interested in civics and the judicial system.

2. The Supreme Court and the Politics of Appointment

Delving into the political dynamics behind Supreme Court nominations, this book examines how ideology, partisanship, and public opinion shape appointments. It provides historical case studies that illustrate the contentious nature of the nomination process.

3. Nominate and Confirm: The Path to the Supreme Court

This comprehensive guide outlines each step of the nomination and confirmation process, from presidential selection to Senate hearings and votes. It also discusses the impact of Supreme Court appointments on American law and society.

4. Inside the Supreme Court Confirmation Battles

Focusing on the most famous and controversial confirmation hearings, this book offers insight into the strategies used by nominees, senators, and interest groups. It highlights the intense scrutiny nominees face and the high stakes involved.

5. Civics in Action: The Supreme Court Nomination Process Explained

Designed for educators and students, this book provides lesson plans, activities, and explanations about how Supreme Court justices are nominated and confirmed. It makes learning about civics interactive and engaging.

6. The Role of the Senate in Supreme Court Nominations

This title focuses exclusively on the Senate's constitutional role in confirming justices. It discusses procedural rules, committee hearings, and the political considerations senators weigh when voting on nominees.

7. Presidential Power and the Supreme Court: The Art of Nomination

Examining the President's influence, this book explores how different administrations have approached Supreme Court nominations. It analyzes the strategic considerations presidents take into account when choosing candidates.

8. The Impact of Supreme Court Justices on American Law

While centered on the outcomes of court decisions, this book also explains how the nomination process shapes the ideological balance of the Court. It connects the nomination process to broader legal and social changes.

9. From Nominee to Justice: Life on the Supreme Court

This book follows the journey of Supreme Court nominees from their initial selection through confirmation and into their careers as justices. It provides personal stories and reflections on the challenges and responsibilities of serving on the nation's highest court.

Icivics Supreme Court Nominations Explained

Find other PDF articles:

<https://ns2.kelisto.es/business-suggest-012/Book?ID=oJB53-3383&title=chapter-11-bankruptcy-small-business.pdf>

icivics supreme court nominations explained: *TIME Magazine Biography--Sandra Day O'Connor* Garth Sundem, 2014-02-01 Introduce biographies with fun, creative activities that teach literacy skills and more. Stimulate student interest with the color TIME Magazine cover. Focus on the background information, time line, comprehension questions, and extension ideas.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: *The Essence of Teaching Social Studies* James A. Duplass, 2020-09-30 Designed for use in elementary and secondary social studies education courses, this book supports the teaching of social studies methods in a range of educational settings. By highlighting long-standing content and principles of social studies education in a concise and direct way, this volume offers the building blocks of a comprehensive course, for use as springboards to the effective presentation of professors' desired course emphases. With sections on foundations, subject areas, and best practices, this text explains the intersection between the modelling role of social studies teachers as democratic citizens, social studies fields of study, and strategies implemented in the classroom to encourage students' critical thinking and values formation.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: *The School News and Practical Educator* , 1914

icivics supreme court nominations explained: **Chief** Ronald M. George, Laura McCreery, 2013 Based upon oral history interviews conducted by Laura McCreery, California Supreme Court Oral History Project.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: *Supreme Democracy* Richard Davis, 2017-06-06 In the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, Supreme Court nominations were driven by presidents, senators, and some legal community elites. Many nominations were quick processes with little Senate deliberation, minimal publicity and almost no public involvement. Today, however, confirmation takes 81 days on average-Justice Antonin Scalia's former seat has already taken much longer to fill-and it is typically a media spectacle. How did the Supreme Court nomination process become so public and so nakedly political? What forces led to the current high-stakes status of the process? How could we implement reforms to improve the process? In *Supreme Democracy: The End of Elitism in the Supreme Court Nominations*, Richard Davis, an eminent scholar of American politics and the courts, traces the history of nominations from the early republic to the present. He examines the component parts of the nomination process one by one: the presidential nomination stage, the confirmation management process, the role of the Senate Judiciary Committee, and the increasing involvement over time of interest groups, the news media, and public opinion. The most dramatic development, however, has been the democratization of politics. Davis delves into the constitutional underpinnings of the nomination process and its traditional form before describing a more democratic process that has emerged in the past half century. He details the struggle over image-making between supporters and opponents intended to influence the news media and public opinion. Most importantly, he provides a thorough examination of whether or not increasing democracy always produces better governance, and a better Court. Not only an authoritative analysis of the Supreme Court nomination process from the founding era to the present, *Supreme Democracy* will be an essential guide to all of the protracted nomination battles yet to come.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: *Supreme Court Appointment Process* Congressional Service, 2018-09-14 The procedure for appointing a Justice to the Supreme Court is provided for in the U.S. Constitution in only a few words. The Appointments Clause in the Constitution (Article II, Section 2, clause 2) states that the President shall nominate, and by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate, shall appoint ... Judges of the supreme Court. While the process of appointing Justices has undergone some changes over two centuries, its most essential feature-the sharing of power between the President and the Senate-has remained unchanged: to receive lifetime appointment to the Court, one must first be formally selected (nominated) by the President and then approved (confirmed) by the Senate. For the President, the appointment of a Supreme Court Justice can be a notable measure by which history will judge his Presidency. For the

Senate, a decision to confirm is a solemn matter as well, for it is the Senate alone, through its Advice and Consent function, without any formal involvement of the House of Representatives, which acts as a safeguard on the President's judgment. This report provides information and analysis related to the final stage of the confirmation process for a nomination to the Supreme Court-the consideration of the nomination by the full Senate, including floor debate and the vote on whether to approve the nomination. Traditionally, the Senate has tended to be less deferential to the President in his choice of Supreme Court Justices than in his appointment of persons to high executive branch positions. The more exacting standard usually applied to Supreme Court nominations reflects the special importance of the Court, coequal to and independent of the presidency and Congress. Senators are also mindful that Justices-unlike persons elected to legislative office or confirmed to executive branch positions-receive the opportunity to serve a lifetime appointment during good behavior. The appointment of a Supreme Court Justice might or might not proceed smoothly. From the appointment of the first Justices in 1789 through its consideration of nominee Neil Gorsuch in 2017, the Senate has confirmed 118 Supreme Court nominations out of 162 received. Of the 44 nominations that were not confirmed, 12 were rejected outright in roll-call votes by the Senate, while nearly all of the rest, in the face of substantial committee or Senate opposition to the nominee or the President, were withdrawn by the President, or were postponed, tabled, or never voted on by the Senate. Six of the unconfirmed nominations, however, involved individuals who subsequently were renominated and confirmed.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: *Strategic Selection* Christine L. Nemacheck, 2007 In this book, Christine Nemacheck makes use of presidential papers to reconstruct the politics of nominee selection from Herbert Hoover's appointment of Charles Evan Hughes in 1930 through President George W. Bush's nomination of Samuel Alito in 2005. By revealing the pattern of strategic action, Nemacheck takes us a long way toward understanding this critically important part of the American political system.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: Supreme Court Appointment Process Denis Steven Rutkus, 2005 The appointment of a Supreme Court Justice is an infrequent event of major significance in American politics. Each appointment is important because of the enormous judicial power the Supreme Court exercises as the highest appellate court in the federal judiciary. Appointments are infrequent, as a vacancy on the nine member Court may occur only once or twice, or never at all, during a particular President's years in office. Under the Constitution, Justices on the Supreme Court receive lifetime appointments. Such job security in the government has been conferred solely on judges and, by constitutional design, helps insure the Court's independence from the President and Congress. The procedure for appointing a Justice is provided for by the Constitution in only a few words. The Appointments Clause (Article II, Section 2, clause 2) states that the President shall nominate, and by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate, shall appoint ... Judges of the Supreme Court. The process of appointing Justices has undergone changes over two centuries, but its most basic feature -- the sharing of power between the President and Senate -- has remained unchanged: To receive lifetime appointment to the Court, a candidate must first be nominated by the President and then confirmed by the Senate. Although not mentioned in the Constitution, an important role is played midway in the process (after the President selects, but before the Senate considers) by the Senate Judiciary Committee. On rare occasions, Presidents also have made Court appointments without the Senate's consent, when the Senate was in recess. Such recess appointments, however, were temporary, with their terms expiring at the end of the Senate's next session. The last recess appointments to the Court, made in the 1950s, were controversial, because they bypassed the Senate and its advice and consent role. The appointment of a Justice might or might not proceed smoothly. Since the appointment of the first Justices in 1789, the Senate has confirmed 120 Supreme Court nominations out of 154 received. Of the 34 unsuccessful nominations, 11 were rejected in Senate roll-call votes, while nearly all of the rest, in the face of committee or Senate opposition to the nominee or the President, were withdrawn by the President or were postponed, tabled, or never voted on by the Senate. Over more than two centuries, a

recurring theme in the Supreme Court appointment process has been the assumed need for excellence in a nominee. However, politics also has played an important role in Supreme Court appointments. The political nature of the appointment process becomes especially apparent when a President submits a nominee with controversial views, there are sharp partisan or ideological differences between the President and the Senate, or the outcome of important constitutional issues before the Court is seen to be at stake.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: Seeking Justices Michael Comiskey, 2004 In the long shadows cast by the Robert Bork and Clarence Thomas nominations, Supreme Court confirmations remain highly contentious and controversial. This is due in part to the Senate's increasing reliance upon a much lengthier, much more public, and occasionally raucous confirmation process—in an effort to curb the potential excesses of executive power created by presidents seeking greater control over the Court's ideological composition. Michael Comiskey offers the most comprehensive, systematic, and optimistic analysis of that process to date. Arguing that the process works well and therefore should not be significantly altered, Comiskey convincingly counters those critics who view highly contentious confirmation proceedings as the norm. Senators have every right and a real obligation, he contends, to scrutinize the nominees' constitutional philosophies. He further argues that the media coverage of the Senate's deliberations has worked to improve the level of such scrutiny and that recent presidents have neither exerted excessive influence on the appointment process nor created a politically extreme Court. He also examines the ongoing concern over presidential efforts to pack the court, concluding that stacking the ideological deck is unlikely. As an exception to the rule, Comiskey analyzes in depth the Thomas confirmation to explain why it was an aberration, offering the most detailed account yet of Thomas's pre-judicial professional and political activities. He argues that the Senate Judiciary Committee abdicated its responsibilities out of deference to Thomas's race. Another of the book's unique features is Comiskey's reassessment of the reputations of twentieth-century Supreme Court justices. Based on a survey of nearly 300 scholars in constitutional law and politics, it shows that the modern confirmation process continues to fill Court vacancies with jurists as capable as those of earlier eras. We have now seen the longest period without a turnover on the Court since the early nineteenth century, making inevitable the appointment of several new justices following the 2004 presidential election. Thus, the timing of the publication of *Seeking Justices* could not be more propitious.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: Appointment and Nomination of Supreme Court Justices Ilka Kreimendahl, 2005-04-26 Seminar paper from the year 2002 in the subject American Studies - Culture and Applied Geography, grade: 1,0 / A, University of Kassel, course: Amerikanische Entwicklung im Spiegel ausgewählter Entscheidungen des Supreme Court, language: English, abstract: "Equal Justice Under Law" – this inscription is written above the main entrance of the Supreme Court building, proclaiming that every case and individual will be judged according to the same principles. Members of the court have the duty to come to a decision, which is free of personal and also political influences, a task that requires numerous virtues, among them independence, incorruptibility, and the self-confidence to apply new methods that might alter the country. Accordingly, the work of a Supreme Court justices makes high demands on a person and it is doubtful that any judge would be able to fulfill them. Yet from which point of view are these extraordinary individuals selected? And who has a right of codetermination in the appointment process? Since the Supreme Court is a major policy maker in the U.S, the appointments of the justices have a great impact on the future of the country. Consequently, the nominations are fundamental to a number of people, organizations and interest groups, as possible future decisions of the tribunal might transform society and American life. This paper will investigate the selection and nomination process of Supreme Court justices and the factors playing a role in the background. Beginning with an historical overview, we will take a closer look at the legal foundation and the early stages of the newestablished court. The second part attends to the qualification of justices and their ensuing appointment, also taking into consideration the various demographic factors that might influence a selection nowadays. Subsequently, the focus will be on interest groups and other

society-relevant organizations, which take an interest in the tribunal and concentrate their attention on the selection of justices who are of importance to them. Finally, the thesis will go into the role presidents play in the selection procedure and to conclude I will summarize the results that follow from this work.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: An Analysis of Senate Voting on Supreme Court Nominations Daniel Anthony DiRosa, 1990

icivics supreme court nominations explained: *Supreme Court Appointment Process* Congressional Research Service, 2018-09-06 The appointment of a Supreme Court Justice is an event of major significance in American politics. Each appointment is of consequence because of the enormous judicial power the Supreme Court exercises as the highest appellate court in the federal judiciary. To receive appointment to the Court, a candidate must first be nominated by the President and then confirmed by the Senate. Although not mentioned in the Constitution, an important role is played midway in the process (after the President selects, but before the Senate considers) by the Senate Judiciary Committee. Specifically, the Judiciary Committee, rather than the Senate as a whole, assumes the principal responsibility for investigating the background and qualifications of each Supreme Court nominee, and typically the committee conducts a close, intensive investigation of each nominee. Since the late 1960s, the Judiciary Committee's consideration of a Supreme Court nominee almost always has consisted of three distinct stages-(1) a pre-hearing investigative stage, followed by (2) public hearings, and concluding with (3) a committee decision on what recommendation to make to the full Senate. During the pre-hearing investigative stage, the nominee responds to a detailed Judiciary Committee questionnaire, providing biographical, professional, and financial disclosure information to the committee. In addition to the committee's own investigation of the nominee, the FBI also investigates the nominee and provides the committee with confidential reports related to its investigation. During this time, the American Bar Association also evaluates the professional qualifications of the nominee, rating the nominee as well qualified, qualified, or not qualified. Additionally, prior to hearings starting, the nominee pays courtesy calls on individual Senators in their offices, including Senators who do not serve on the Judiciary Committee. Once the Judiciary Committee completes its investigation of the nominee, he or she testifies in hearings before the committee. On average, for Supreme Court nominees who have received hearings from 1975 to the present, the nominee's first hearing occurred 40 days after his or her nomination was formally submitted to the Senate by the President. Questioning of a nominee by Senators has involved, as a matter of course, the nominee's legal qualifications, biographical background, and any earlier actions as public figures. Other questions have focused on social and political issues, the Constitution, particular court rulings, current constitutional controversies, and judicial philosophy. For the most recent nominees to the Court, hearings have lasted for four or five days (although the Senate may decide to hold more hearings if a nomination is perceived as controversial-as was the case with Robert Bork's nomination in 1987, who had 11 days of hearings). Usually within a week upon completion of the hearings, the Judiciary Committee meets in open session to determine what recommendation to report to the full Senate. The committee's usual practice has been to report even those Supreme Court nominations opposed by a committee majority, allowing the full Senate to make the final decision on whether the nomination should be approved. Consequently, the committee may report the nomination favorably, report it unfavorably, or report it without making any recommendation at all. Of the 15 most recent Supreme Court nominations reported by the Judiciary Committee, 13 were reported favorably, 1 was reported unfavorably, and 1 was reported without recommendation.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: Explaining Senators' Votes on Supreme Court Appointment Hannah Marie Petruzzi, 2019 What explains the votes of U.S. senators to approve presidential nominees to the U.S. Supreme Court? Previous research has emphasized the roles that ideology and qualifications play while paying less attention to the role of partisanship. Through use of 22 nominations and 2000 Senate votes, regression analyses were estimated to determine whether there is a difference in considerations of Republican and Democratic senators, or senators of the

same party and opposing party to the president, when casting confirmation votes. While both Republicans and Democrats consider qualifications most heavily when casting their votes, Republicans weigh ideology more heavily than Democrats. Additionally, senators of the opposing party to the president weigh qualifications more than ideology. In an era of heightened partisan polarization, these results have important implications for our understanding of the Supreme Court nomination process and the role of partisan considerations in the separation of powers.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: Acte Burgerlijke stand , 19??

icivics supreme court nominations explained: Roll Call Votes to Confirm Supreme Court Nominations: Historical Analysis of Support and Opposition (1900-2020) (IN11905). , 2022

icivics supreme court nominations explained: The Appointment Process for U.S. Circuit and District Court Nominations Congressional Research Service, 2014-10-22 In recent decades, the process for appointing judges to the U.S. circuit courts of appeals and the U.S. district courts has been of continuing Senate interest. The responsibility for making these appointments is shared by the President and the Senate. Pursuant to the Constitution's Appointments Clause, the President nominates persons to fill federal judgeships, with the appointment of each nominee also requiring Senate confirmation. Although not mentioned in the Constitution, an important role is also played midway in the appointment process by the Senate Judiciary Committee. The need for a President to make a circuit or district court nomination typically arises when a judgeship becomes or soon will become vacant. With almost no formal restrictions on whom the President may consider, an informal requirement is that judicial candidates are expected to meet a high standard of professional qualification. By custom, candidates who the President considers for district judgeships are typically identified by home state Senators if the latter are of the President's party, with such Senators, however, generally exerting less influence over the selection of circuit nominees. Another customary expectation is that the Administration, before the President selects a nominee, will consult both home state Senators, regardless of their party, to determine the acceptability to them of the candidate under consideration. In recent Administrations, the pre-nomination evaluation of judicial candidates has been performed jointly by staff in the White House Counsel's Office and the Department of Justice. Candidate finalists also undergo a confidential background investigation by the FBI and an independent evaluation by a committee of the American Bar Association. The selection process is completed when the President, approving of a candidate, signs a nomination message, which is then sent to the Senate. Once received by the Senate, the judicial nomination is referred to the Judiciary Committee, where professional staff initiate their own investigation into the nominee's background and qualifications. Also, during this pre-hearing phase, the committee, through its "blue slip" procedure, seeks the assessment of home state Senators regarding whether they approve having the committee consider and take action on the nominee. Next in the process is the confirmation hearing, where judicial nominees engage in a question and answer session with members of the Judiciary Committee. Questions from Senators may focus, among other things, on a nominee's qualifications, understanding of how to interpret the law, previous experiences, and the role of judges.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: Supreme Court Appointments Norman Vieira, Leonard Gross, 1998 Norman Vieira and Leonard Gross provide an in-depth analysis of the political and legal framework surrounding the confirmation process for Supreme Court nominees. President Ronald Reagan's nomination of Judge Robert Bork to the Supreme Court met with a fierce opposition that was apparent in his confirmation hearings, which were different in many ways from those of any previous nominee. This behind-the-scenes view of the politics and personalities involved in the Bork confirmation controversy provides a framework for future debates regarding the confirmation process. To help establish that framework, Vieira and Gross examine the similarities as well as the differences between the Bork confirmation battle and other confirmation proceedings for Supreme Court nominees.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: Subjective Qualifications Erik S. Bliss, 1992

icivics supreme court nominations explained: Supreme Court Appointment Process

Denis Steven Rutkus, 2006 The appointment of a Supreme Court Justice is an event of major significance in American politics. Each appointment is important because of the enormous judicial power the Supreme Court exercises as the highest appellate court in the federal judiciary. Appointments are usually infrequent, as a vacancy on the 9-member Court may occur only once or twice, or never at all, during a particular President's years in office. Under the Constitution, Justices on the Supreme Court receive lifetime appointments. Such job security in the government has been conferred solely on judges and, by constitutional design, helps insure the Court's independence from the President and Congress. The procedure for appointing a Justice is provided for by the Constitution in only a few words. The Appointments Clause (Article II, Section 2, clause 2) states that the President shall nominate, and by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate, shall appoint ... Judges of the supreme Court. The process of appointing Justices has undergone changes over two centuries, but its most basic feature -- the sharing of power between the President and Senate -- has remained unchanged: To receive lifetime appointment to the Court, a candidate must first be nominated by the President and then confirmed by the Senate. Although not mentioned in the Constitution, an important role is played midway in the process by the Senate Judiciary Committee. The appointment of a Justice might or might not proceed smoothly. From the first appointments in 1789, the Senate has confirmed 122 out of 158 Court nominations. A recurring theme in the appointment process has been the assumed need for excellence in a nominee. However, politics also has played an important role in Supreme Court appointments. The political nature of the appointment process becomes especially apparent when a President submits a nominee with controversial views or there are sharp ideological differences between the President and the Senate.

icivics supreme court nominations explained: Advice & Consent Paul Simon, 1992 With America suffering from the most mediocre court in history, recent bloody confirmation battles in the Senate have confirmed one thing: the process has run amuck. Senator Simon, a leading member of the Judiciary Committee, provides an insider's viewpoint on the appointment process and reveals the maneuvering that goes on behind closed doors. 16 photographs.

Related to icivics supreme court nominations explained

Home | iCivics iCivics is a nonpartisan 501 (c) (3) organization dedicated to advancing civic learning so young people have the confidence to shape the world around them and believe in our country's future

iCivics - Wikipedia iCivics, Inc. (formerly Our Courts) is a 501 (c) (3) non-profit organization in the United States that provides educational online games and lesson plans to promote civics education and

Play and Learn | iCivics Manage Texas county government in our first state-based local government game! Navigate our court system and guide citizens to the right place. Do I Have a Right? Run a law firm and test

Get Started | iCivics Prepare elementary school students for critical thinking, thoughtful discussions, and civic responsibilities with iCivics Readers, distributed by Teacher Created Materials

iCivics, Inc - Zendesk What are the benefits of using iCivics lessons, games, and activities with my students? How tech savvy do I need to be, to enjoy iCivics? What major topics does iCivics cover? Can My

About | iCivics iCivics champions equitable, non-partisan civic education so that the practice of democracy is learned by each new generation. We work to inspire life-long civic engagement by providing

About iCivics Education - iCivics From educational games and short-form, just-in-time materials to comprehensive inquiry-based curricula, iCivics resources encourage students to interact with complex concepts in ways they

iCivics - Clever iCivics prepares young Americans to become knowledgeable, engaged 21st century citizens by creating free and innovative educational materials. It provides educational online games and

Register - iCivics We've got answers. Visit our Support Desk to learn how to set-up and use your My iCivics Account. Explore opportunities we've designed to create community and build your expertise.

About - Advancing Civic Learning - iCivics Founded in 2009 by Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor, iCivics is a nonpartisan organization dedicated to advancing civic learning by providing educators and students with

Home | iCivics iCivics is a nonpartisan 501 (c) (3) organization dedicated to advancing civic learning so young people have the confidence to shape the world around them and believe in our country's future

iCivics - Wikipedia iCivics, Inc. (formerly Our Courts) is a 501 (c) (3) non-profit organization in the United States that provides educational online games and lesson plans to promote civics education and

Play and Learn | iCivics Manage Texas county government in our first state-based local government game! Navigate our court system and guide citizens to the right place. Do I Have a Right? Run a law firm and test

Get Started | iCivics Prepare elementary school students for critical thinking, thoughtful discussions, and civic responsibilities with iCivics Readers, distributed by Teacher Created Materials

iCivics, Inc - Zendesk What are the benefits of using iCivics lessons, games, and activities with my students? How tech savvy do I need to be, to enjoy iCivics? What major topics does iCivics cover? Can My

About | iCivics iCivics champions equitable, non-partisan civic education so that the practice of democracy is learned by each new generation. We work to inspire life-long civic engagement by providing

About iCivics Education - iCivics From educational games and short-form, just-in-time materials to comprehensive inquiry-based curricula, iCivics resources encourage students to interact with complex concepts in ways they

iCivics - Clever iCivics prepares young Americans to become knowledgeable, engaged 21st century citizens by creating free and innovative educational materials. It provides educational online games and

Register - iCivics We've got answers. Visit our Support Desk to learn how to set-up and use your My iCivics Account. Explore opportunities we've designed to create community and build your expertise.

About - Advancing Civic Learning - iCivics Founded in 2009 by Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor, iCivics is a nonpartisan organization dedicated to advancing civic learning by providing educators and students with

Home | iCivics iCivics is a nonpartisan 501 (c) (3) organization dedicated to advancing civic learning so young people have the confidence to shape the world around them and believe in our country's future

iCivics - Wikipedia iCivics, Inc. (formerly Our Courts) is a 501 (c) (3) non-profit organization in the United States that provides educational online games and lesson plans to promote civics education and

Play and Learn | iCivics Manage Texas county government in our first state-based local government game! Navigate our court system and guide citizens to the right place. Do I Have a Right? Run a law firm and test

Get Started | iCivics Prepare elementary school students for critical thinking, thoughtful discussions, and civic responsibilities with iCivics Readers, distributed by Teacher Created Materials

iCivics, Inc - Zendesk What are the benefits of using iCivics lessons, games, and activities with my students? How tech savvy do I need to be, to enjoy iCivics? What major topics does iCivics cover? Can My

About | iCivics iCivics champions equitable, non-partisan civic education so that the practice of democracy is learned by each new generation. We work to inspire life-long civic engagement by

providing

About iCivics Education - iCivics From educational games and short-form, just-in-time materials to comprehensive inquiry-based curricula, iCivics resources encourage students to interact with complex concepts in ways they

iCivics - Clever iCivics prepares young Americans to become knowledgeable, engaged 21st century citizens by creating free and innovative educational materials. It provides educational online games and

Register - iCivics We've got answers. Visit our Support Desk to learn how to set-up and use your My iCivics Account. Explore opportunities we've designed to create community and build your expertise.

About - Advancing Civic Learning - iCivics Founded in 2009 by Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor, iCivics is a nonpartisan organization dedicated to advancing civic learning by providing educators and students with

Home | iCivics iCivics is a nonpartisan 501 (c) (3) organization dedicated to advancing civic learning so young people have the confidence to shape the world around them and believe in our country's future

iCivics - Wikipedia iCivics, Inc. (formerly Our Courts) is a 501 (c) (3) non-profit organization in the United States that provides educational online games and lesson plans to promote civics education and

Play and Learn | iCivics Manage Texas county government in our first state-based local government game! Navigate our court system and guide citizens to the right place. Do I Have a Right? Run a law firm and test

Get Started | iCivics Prepare elementary school students for critical thinking, thoughtful discussions, and civic responsibilities with iCivics Readers, distributed by Teacher Created Materials

iCivics, Inc - Zendesk What are the benefits of using iCivics lessons, games, and activities with my students? How tech savvy do I need to be, to enjoy iCivics? What major topics does iCivics cover? Can My

About | iCivics iCivics champions equitable, non-partisan civic education so that the practice of democracy is learned by each new generation. We work to inspire life-long civic engagement by providing

About iCivics Education - iCivics From educational games and short-form, just-in-time materials to comprehensive inquiry-based curricula, iCivics resources encourage students to interact with complex concepts in ways they

iCivics - Clever iCivics prepares young Americans to become knowledgeable, engaged 21st century citizens by creating free and innovative educational materials. It provides educational online games and

Register - iCivics We've got answers. Visit our Support Desk to learn how to set-up and use your My iCivics Account. Explore opportunities we've designed to create community and build your expertise.

About - Advancing Civic Learning - iCivics Founded in 2009 by Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor, iCivics is a nonpartisan organization dedicated to advancing civic learning by providing educators and students with

Back to Home: <https://ns2.kelisto.es>