

how supreme court nominations work

how supreme court nominations work is a fundamental process in the American political system that determines the composition of the highest court in the United States. This complex procedure involves several critical steps, starting with the President's selection of a nominee and culminating in the Senate's confirmation vote. Understanding how supreme court nominations work sheds light on the balance of power between the executive and legislative branches, as well as the impact these appointments have on the judicial landscape for decades. This article explores the nomination process in detail, including the role of the President, the Senate Judiciary Committee, public hearings, and the final confirmation vote. Additionally, it covers the historical context and political considerations that influence these nominations. The following sections provide a comprehensive overview of how supreme court nominations work in the United States.

- The Role of the President in Supreme Court Nominations
- The Senate Judiciary Committee's Responsibilities
- Public Hearings and Questioning of Nominees
- The Senate Confirmation Vote
- Historical and Political Factors Influencing Nominations

The Role of the President in Supreme Court Nominations

The process of how supreme court nominations work begins with the President of the United States. When a vacancy arises on the Supreme Court, either due to retirement, death, or resignation, it is the President's constitutional responsibility to nominate a candidate to fill the position. The President's choice is often influenced by a variety of factors including legal qualifications, judicial philosophy, political ideology, and the potential nominee's demographic background.

Selection Criteria for Nominees

Presidents typically consider several key criteria when selecting a Supreme Court nominee. These include:

- **Judicial Experience:** Many nominees have prior experience as federal judges, but some come from academia or private legal practice.

- **Legal Philosophy:** The nominee's stance on constitutional interpretation, such as originalism or living constitutionalism, is crucial.
- **Political Compatibility:** Presidents often aim to appoint justices who align with their political and ideological views.
- **Diversity:** In recent decades, Presidents have increasingly considered factors such as race, gender, and ethnicity to ensure a diverse Supreme Court.

Once the President selects a nominee, the name is formally submitted to the Senate for consideration, marking the next phase in how supreme court nominations work.

The Senate Judiciary Committee's Responsibilities

The Senate Judiciary Committee plays a pivotal role in the nomination process, serving as the primary body responsible for evaluating the President's nominee. This committee conducts a thorough investigation into the nominee's background, qualifications, and judicial record. The committee's actions significantly influence the progress and outcome of the nomination.

Background Checks and Investigations

The committee undertakes a comprehensive review that includes:

- Examining the nominee's past rulings, writings, and public statements.
- Investigating personal and professional history for any controversies or ethical concerns.
- Consulting legal experts and interest groups for opinions on the nominee's suitability.
- Reviewing financial disclosures and potential conflicts of interest.

This rigorous vetting process is essential to ensure that the nominee meets the high standards required for a lifetime appointment to the Supreme Court.

Public Hearings and Questioning of Nominees

Following the initial review, the Senate Judiciary Committee holds public hearings where the nominee testifies and answers questions. These hearings are a critical and highly visible part of how supreme court

nominations work, as they provide Senators and the public an opportunity to assess the nominee's temperament, legal reasoning, and views on constitutional issues.

Structure and Purpose of the Hearings

The hearings typically proceed as follows:

1. The nominee delivers an opening statement outlining their qualifications and judicial philosophy.
2. Committee members ask a series of questions, which can cover a wide range of topics including past rulings, legal principles, and hypothetical scenarios.
3. Witnesses may be called to provide testimony either in support of or opposition to the nominee.
4. Committee members deliberate and eventually vote on whether to recommend the nominee to the full Senate.

These hearings are often contentious and politically charged, reflecting the high stakes involved in how supreme court nominations work.

The Senate Confirmation Vote

After the Judiciary Committee completes its evaluation and votes, the nomination proceeds to the full Senate for confirmation. The Senate's role is constitutionally mandated to provide "advice and consent" on the President's nominee. This final stage determines whether the nominee will join the Supreme Court.

Voting Procedures and Outcomes

The confirmation process in the Senate includes the following steps:

- **Debate:** Senators debate the merits and concerns regarding the nominee.
- **Filibuster and Cloture:** Historically, a filibuster could delay or block a vote, but recent changes allow confirmation by a simple majority vote.
- **Confirmation Vote:** A majority vote (51 or more Senators) is required to confirm the nominee.

If confirmed, the nominee is officially appointed as a Supreme Court Justice and takes the judicial oath. If

rejected, the President must nominate another candidate, and the process begins anew. This final vote is often the culmination of intense political negotiation and public scrutiny.

Historical and Political Factors Influencing Nominations

The process of how supreme court nominations work is not solely legal or procedural; it is deeply influenced by historical context and political dynamics. Over time, the stakes of each nomination have heightened, reflecting the Supreme Court's powerful role in shaping American law and society.

Impact of Political Climate

The political environment significantly affects nominations in several ways:

- **Partisan Control:** The party controlling the Presidency and Senate can accelerate or hinder the confirmation process.
- **Ideological Balance:** Presidents consider the current composition of the Court to maintain or shift its ideological balance.
- **Election Years:** Nominations during a presidential election year often face additional scrutiny and delay.
- **Public Opinion:** Media coverage and public sentiment can influence Senators' votes and the overall momentum of a nomination.

Historical examples demonstrate how these factors have shaped landmark confirmations and rejections, illustrating the evolving nature of how supreme court nominations work in the United States.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the process for nominating a Supreme Court justice?

The President of the United States nominates a candidate, who must then be confirmed by the Senate through hearings and a majority vote.

Who can be nominated to the Supreme Court?

Any U.S. citizen can be nominated, but typically nominees are experienced judges, lawyers, or legal

scholars with a strong judicial or legal background.

What role does the Senate Judiciary Committee play in Supreme Court nominations?

The Senate Judiciary Committee conducts hearings where they question the nominee, review their background, and then vote on whether to recommend the nominee to the full Senate.

How long does the Supreme Court nomination process usually take?

The process can vary but typically takes several weeks to a few months from nomination to Senate confirmation vote.

Can a Supreme Court nomination be rejected?

Yes, the Senate can reject a nominee by voting against confirmation, preventing the nominee from joining the Court.

What factors influence the President's choice for a Supreme Court nominee?

Factors include the nominee's judicial philosophy, qualifications, political considerations, diversity, and the current makeup of the Court.

Is Senate confirmation required for all Supreme Court nominees?

Yes, every Supreme Court nominee must be confirmed by a majority vote in the Senate to take office.

What happens if the Senate does not confirm a Supreme Court nominee?

If the Senate rejects a nominee or fails to act, the President must nominate another candidate.

Can the public influence Supreme Court nominations?

While the public does not have a direct role, public opinion and advocacy can influence Senators' votes and the overall confirmation process.

Additional Resources

1. *Confirmation Wars: The Politics of Supreme Court Nominations*

This book delves into the highly contentious and political nature of Supreme Court nominations in the

United States. It explores the history, strategies, and conflicts that arise during the confirmation process. Readers gain insight into how ideological battles and partisan considerations shape the composition of the Court.

2. *The Gatekeepers: How the Senate Controls Supreme Court Confirmations*

Focusing on the Senate's role, this book examines the procedural and political mechanisms senators use to influence Supreme Court nominations. It details the confirmation hearings, filibusters, and voting dynamics that determine whether a nominee is approved. The author provides case studies of landmark confirmations to illustrate these processes.

3. *Judging the Judges: Supreme Court Nominees and the Confirmation Process*

This book offers an in-depth analysis of how nominees are evaluated by the Senate and the public. It covers the background checks, questioning during hearings, and the importance of a nominee's judicial philosophy. The work also discusses the evolving nature of the confirmation process over decades.

4. *From Nominee to Justice: The Journey Through Supreme Court Confirmation*

Tracing the path of nominees from their selection by the President to their eventual swearing-in, this book provides a comprehensive overview of each stage involved. It highlights the political calculations behind nominations and the intense scrutiny nominees face. The narrative includes interviews with former nominees and senators.

5. *Partisan Battles: The Increasingly Polarized Supreme Court Confirmation Process*

This title examines how the Supreme Court confirmation process has become more polarized and partisan in recent years. It discusses the impact of political polarization on Senate deliberations and public opinion. The book also considers the implications of this trend for the judiciary's independence.

6. *The Senate Judiciary Committee and Supreme Court Confirmations*

Focusing specifically on the Senate Judiciary Committee, this book explains its crucial role in vetting and hearing nominees. It provides detailed accounts of committee hearings and the strategic decisions made by committee members. The book also explores the committee's influence on the overall confirmation outcome.

7. *Supreme Court Nominations: Legal, Political, and Historical Perspectives*

This scholarly work offers a multidisciplinary approach to understanding Supreme Court nominations. It combines legal analysis with historical context and political science theories to provide a well-rounded perspective. Readers learn about the constitutional foundations and evolving practices surrounding nominations.

8. *Power Play: Presidential Influence in Supreme Court Nominations*

This book investigates the President's role in selecting and advocating for Supreme Court nominees. It discusses the strategic considerations presidents weigh, such as ideology, qualifications, and political capital. The author also examines how presidents work to secure Senate approval for their choices.

9. *The Confirmation Game: Strategies and Tactics in Supreme Court Nominations*

Offering an inside look at the negotiation and maneuvering involved in confirmations, this book reveals the strategic gamesmanship between the White House, Senate, and interest groups. It highlights the use of media, lobbying, and procedural tactics to sway the outcome. The work provides a behind-the-scenes perspective on one of the nation's most critical political processes.

[How Supreme Court Nominations Work](#)

Find other PDF articles:

<https://ns2.kelisto.es/gacor1-19/pdf?trackid=OwD45-0771&title=league-of-legends-art-book-vol-2.pdf>

how supreme court nominations work: Supreme Court Nominations: Presidential Nomination, the Judiciary Committee, Senate Consideration, Cloture, and the Use of the Filibuster Denis Steven Rutkus, Elizabeth Rybicki,

how supreme court nominations work: *Supreme Court Appointment Process* Denis Stevens Rutkus, 2010-08 Contents: (1) Pres. Selection of a Nominee: Senate Advice; Advice from Other Sources; Criteria for Selecting a Nominee; Background Invest.; Recess Appoint. to the Court; (2) Consid. by the Senate Judiciary Comm.: Background: Senators Nominated to the Court; Open Hear.; Nominee Appear. at Confirm. Hear.; Comm. Involvement in Appoint. Process; Pre-Hearing Stage; Hearings; Reporting the Nomin.; (3) Senate Debate and Confirm. Vote; Bringing Nomin. to the Floor; Evaluate Nominees; Filibusters and Motions to End Debate; Voice Votes, Roll Calls, and Vote Margins; Reconsid. of the Confirm. Vote; Nomin. That Failed to be Confirmed; Judiciary Comm. to Further Examine the Nomin.; After Senate Confirm.

how supreme court nominations work: *The Supreme Court* Lawrence Baum, 2021-02-04 In *The Supreme Court*, Lawrence Baum provides a brief yet comprehensive introduction to the U.S. Supreme Court, one that is balanced and illuminating. In successive chapters, the book examines each major aspect of the Court: the selection, backgrounds, and departures of justices; the creation of the Court's agenda; the decision-making process and the factors that shape the Court's decisions; the substance of the Court's policies; and the Court's impact on government and American society. Describing the Court's personalities and procedures, and delving deeply to explain the actions of the Court and the behavior of justices, Baum shows students the Court's complexity and reach. Tables and figures, plus a lively photo program, make this one of the most engaging books available. It is simply the standard.

how supreme court nominations work: *Scoring Points* Nancy Scherer, 2005 This book explores how the lower federal court appointment process became vastly politicized in the modern era. Scherer develops a theory of "elite mobilization," positing that lower court appointments have always been used by politicians for electoral purposes, but because of two historic changes to American institutions in the 1950s and 1960s—the breakdown of the old party system, and a federal judiciary reception to expanding individuals' constitutional rights—politicians shifted from an appointment system dominated by patronage to a system dominated by new policy-oriented appointment strategies. The use of these new strategies not only resulted in partisan warfare during the nomination and confirmation stages of the appointment process, but also led to party-polarized voting in the lower federal courts. Employing exclusive data of judicial decision-making from the New Deal era through the present, Scherer demonstrates that there was little party-polarized voting

in the lower federal courts until the late 1960s, and that once politicians began to use elite mobilization strategies, significant party-polarized voting in the lower federal courts resulted. Accordingly, elite mobilization strategies have affected not only politics in Washington, but also the way justice is distributed across the country.

how supreme court nominations work: *Supreme Court Confirmation Hearings in the U.S. Senate* Dion Farganis, Justin Wedeking, 2014-03-24 Critics claim that Supreme Court nominees have become more evasive in recent decades and that Senate confirmation hearings lack real substance. Conducting a line-by-line analysis of the confirmation hearing of every nominee since 1955—an original dataset of nearly 11,000 questions and answers from testimony before the Senate Judiciary Committee—Dion Farganis and Justin Wedeking discover that nominees are far more forthcoming than generally assumed. Applying an original scoring system to assess each nominee's testimony based on the same criteria, they show that some of the earliest nominees were actually less willing to answer questions than their contemporary counterparts. Factors such as changes in the political culture of Congress and the 1981 introduction of televised coverage of the hearings have created the impression that nominee candor is in decline. Further, senators' votes are driven more by party and ideology than by a nominee's responsiveness to their questions. Moreover, changes in the confirmation process intersect with increasing levels of party polarization as well as constituents' more informed awareness and opinions of recent Supreme Court nominees.

how supreme court nominations work: *The Chief Justice* Artemus Ward, David J. Danelski, 2016-08-18 The Chief Justice brings together leading scholars of the courts who employ social science theory and research to explain the role of the Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court. They consider the chief justice's appointment, office, powers, and influence both within the Court and in the American system of government more generally. The chief justice presides over oral arguments and the justices' private conferences. The chief justice speaks first in those conferences, presents cases and other matters to the other justices, and assigns the Court's opinions in all cases in which the chief justice votes with the majority. In addition, the chief justice presides over the Judicial Conference of the United States, a policy-making body composed of lower-court federal judges. As Chief Justice Charles Evans Hughes wrote, the Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court is "the most important judicial officer in the world."

how supreme court nominations work: *The President and the Supreme Court* Paul M. Collins (Jr.), Matthew Eshbaugh-Soha, 2019 Examines the relationship between the president and the Supreme Court, including how presidents view the norm of judicial independence.

how supreme court nominations work: *Judicial Nomination and Confirmation Process* United States. Congress. Senate. Committee on the Judiciary. Subcommittee on Administrative Oversight and the Courts, 2002

how supreme court nominations work: *The Supreme Court in American Politics* I. Unah, 2016-01-03 The Supreme Court's involvement in many hot political and personal conflicts makes crucial an understanding of its internal workings and evolution. This book gives students a firm historical and institutional base upon which to evaluate contemporary Supreme Court decisions and the impact of those decisions on the lives of ordinary citizens.

how supreme court nominations work: *A Supreme Court Unlike Any Other* Kevin J. McMahon, 2024-04-12 Today's Supreme Court is unlike any other in American history. This is not just because of its jurisprudence. It is because today's Court is uniquely distanced from the democratic processes that buttress its legitimacy. For example, five of the nine justices took their seats after winning confirmation with the support of senators who won far fewer votes than their colleagues in opposition, and three of these five justices were also nominated by a president who lost the popular vote. In *A Supreme Court Unlike Any Other*, Kevin J. McMahon explains the broad historical developments that have brought us here. Drawing on historical and contemporary data and deep knowledge of Court battles during presidencies ranging from Franklin D. Roosevelt to Ronald Reagan to Donald Trump, he offers new insight into the shifting politics of nominating and confirming justices, the changing pool of nominees considered for the Supreme Court, and the

increased salience of the Court in presidential and congressional elections. A Supreme Court Unlike Any Other is an eye-opening account of today's Court within the context of US history and the broader structure of contemporary politics--

how supreme court nominations work: Routledge Handbook of Judicial Behavior Robert M. Howard, Kirk A. Randazzo, 2017-10-02 Interest in social science and empirical analyses of law, courts and specifically the politics of judges has never been higher or more salient. Consequently, there is a strong need for theoretical work on the research that focuses on courts, judges and the judicial process. The Routledge Handbook of Judicial Behavior provides the most up to date examination of scholarship across the entire spectrum of judicial politics and behavior, written by a combination of currently prominent scholars and the emergent next generation of researchers. Unlike almost all other volumes, this Handbook examines judicial behavior from both an American and Comparative perspective. Part 1 provides a broad overview of the dominant Theoretical and Methodological perspectives used to examine and understand judicial behavior, Part 2 offers an in-depth analysis of the various current scholarly areas examining the U.S. Supreme Court, Part 3 moves from the Supreme Court to examining other U.S. federal and state courts, and Part 4 presents a comprehensive overview of Comparative Judicial Politics and Transnational Courts. Each author in this volume provides perspectives on the most current methodological and substantive approaches in their respective areas, along with suggestions for future research. The chapters contained within will generate additional scholarly and public interest by focusing on topics most salient to the academic, legal and policy communities.

how supreme court nominations work: The Path to and From the Supreme Court Kermit L. Hall, 2018-12-07 Available as a single volume or part of the 10 volume set Supreme Court in American Society

how supreme court nominations work: The AI Candidates John S. Kirk, Christopher B. Emery, 2024-08-20 It's less than two months until the 2024 elections. The two presidential candidates are going on television to debate the issues. In front of a live audience of millions watching around the country, both candidates are murdered in cold blood. How was the act committed, and who was responsible? It's the greatest mystery the country has ever known. The nation and its leaders are angry and want answers. Who was behind the murders? Was it a foreign nation or the act of professional killers? Was our very democracy and way of life at stake?

how supreme court nominations work: Public Papers of the Presidents of the United States: William J. Clinton, 1993 Clinton, William J., 1994-01-01 Public Papers of the Presidents of the United States

how supreme court nominations work: Public Papers of the Presidents of the United States, William J. Clinton, 1993, Book 1, January 20 to July 31, 1993, 1994-09 Contains public messages and statements of the President of the United States released by the White House from January 1 to June 30, 2002.

how supreme court nominations work: Public Papers of the Presidents of the United States, William J. Clinton United States. President (1993-2001 : Clinton), 1994

how supreme court nominations work: Public Papers of the Presidents of the United States United States. President, 1993 Containing the public messages, speeches, and statements of the President, 1956-1992.

how supreme court nominations work: Congressional Record United States. Congress, 2002

how supreme court nominations work: The U.S. Supreme Court Linda Greenhouse, 2023-08-18 For thirty years, Linda Greenhouse, the Pulitzer Prize-winning author of *The U.S. Supreme Court: A Very Short Introduction*, chronicled the activities of the justices as the Supreme Court correspondent for the New York Times. In this concise volume, she draws on her deep knowledge of the court's history as well as of its written and unwritten rules to show the reader how the Supreme Court really works. The third edition tracks the changes in the Court's makeup over the past decade, including the landmark decisions of the Obama and Trump eras and the emergence of a conservative supermajority.

Related to how supreme court nominations work

[Jordan Brand]Jordan BrandSupreme 5 days ago 0926 Jordan Brand Supreme 2026

Supreme 202518+ Supreme 202562611:0020256
supreme (2) 2025-08-21 00:45:05
1 (0) 2025-08-21 10:34:39
solestage supreme
sacai x 3.0 sacai 25 Carhartt 3 Lookbook 101

[Jordan Brand]Jordan BrandSupreme 10AJ5 8. Air Jordan 5 Retro Green Bean 7. Supreme x Air Jordan 5 Pack 6. Air Jordan 5 Retro Tokyo 5. Air Jordan 5 Retro Raging Bull 4. Off-White x Air Jordan 5 Pack 3. Air Jordan 5

[Jordan Brand]Jordan BrandSupreme 4 days ago Hali1 0 5 KAI1 2 9 ZK4 5 33 Jordan Brand Supreme Kith x Kith "Monday Program" 91 800 " 5 days ago City Jeans adidas Gazelle Indoor 80

zt- PE 2011-2012 Zoom Hyperdunk 2011 Supreme PE 2011-2012 HD2011-SUPREME-ALLSTAR-

[Jordan Brand]Jordan BrandSupreme 5 days ago 0926 Jordan Brand Supreme 2026

Supreme 202518+ Supreme 202562611:0020256
supreme (2) 2025-08-21 00:45:05
1 (0) 2025-08-21 10:34:39
solestage supreme
sacai x 3.0 sacai 25 Carhartt 3 Lookbook 101

[Jordan Brand]Jordan BrandSupreme 10AJ5 8. Air Jordan 5 Retro Green Bean 7. Supreme x Air Jordan 5 Pack 6. Air Jordan 5 Retro Tokyo 5. Air Jordan 5 Retro Raging Bull 4. Off-White x Air Jordan 5 Pack 3. Air Jordan 5

[Jordan Brand]Jordan BrandSupreme 4 days ago Hali1 0 5 KAI1 2 9 ZK4 5 33 Jordan Brand Supreme Kith x Kith "Monday Program" 91 800 " 5 days ago City Jeans adidas Gazelle Indoor 80

zt- PE 2011-2012 Zoom Hyperdunk 2011 Supreme PE 2011-2012 HD2011-SUPREME-ALLSTAR-

[Jordan Brand]Jordan BrandSupreme 5 days ago 0926 Jordan Brand Supreme 2026

Supreme 202518+ Supreme 202562611:0020256

supreme- - (2) 2025-08-21 00:45:05
1 (0) 2025-08-21 10:34:39
- - 20 solestage
sacai x 3.0 sacai 25 Carhartt 3 Lookbook
101
AJ5- - 8. Air Jordan 5 Retro Green Bean 7. Supreme x Air Jordan 5 Pack 6. Air Jordan 5 Retro Tokyo 5. Air Jordan 5 Retro Raging Bull 4. Off-White x Air Jordan 5 Pack 3. Air Jordan 5
4 days ago Hali1 0 5 KAI1
2 9 ZK4 5 33 Jordan Brand Supreme
Kith x Kith "Monday Program"
91
800 " " 5 days ago City Jeans adidas Gazelle Indoor
80
- - -zt- - PE 2011-2012 Zoom Hyperdunk 2011 Supreme PE 2011-2012 HD2011-SUPREME-ALLSTAR-
Jordan Brand Supreme 5 days ago 09 26 Jordan Brand
Supreme 2026
Supreme 2025 18 + - - Supreme 2025 6 26 11:00 2025 6
supreme- - (2) 2025-08-21 00:45:05
1 (0) 2025-08-21 10:34:39
- - 20 solestage
sacai x 3.0 sacai 25 Carhartt 3 Lookbook
101
AJ5- - 8. Air Jordan 5 Retro Green Bean 7. Supreme x Air Jordan 5 Pack 6. Air Jordan 5 Retro Tokyo 5. Air Jordan 5 Retro Raging Bull 4. Off-White x Air Jordan 5 Pack 3. Air Jordan 5
4 days ago Hali1 0 5 KAI1
2 9 ZK4 5 33 Jordan Brand Supreme
Kith x Kith "Monday Program"
91
800 " " 5 days ago City Jeans adidas Gazelle Indoor
80
- - -zt- - PE 2011-2012 Zoom Hyperdunk 2011 Supreme PE 2011-2012 HD2011-SUPREME-ALLSTAR-
Jordan Brand Supreme 5 days ago 09 26 Jordan Brand
Supreme 2026
Supreme 2025 18 + - - Supreme 2025 6 26 11:00 2025 6
supreme- - (2) 2025-08-21 00:45:05
1 (0) 2025-08-21 10:34:39
- - 20 solestage
sacai x 3.0 sacai 25 Carhartt 3 Lookbook
101
AJ5- - 8. Air Jordan 5 Retro Green Bean 7. Supreme x Air Jordan 5 Pack 6. Air Jordan 5 Retro Tokyo 5. Air Jordan 5 Retro Raging Bull 4. Off-White x Air Jordan 5 Pack 3. Air Jordan 5

[Hali1] 0 5 KAI1
2 9 ZK4 5 33 Jordan Brand Supreme
Kith x Kith "Monday Program"
91
800 "City Jeans adidas Gazelle Indoor
80
-zt- PE 2011-2012 Zoom Hyperdunk 2011 Supreme
PE 2011-2012 HD2011-SUPREME-ALLSTAR-

Related to how supreme court nominations work

Supreme Court Nominations Fast Facts (KESQ13d) Here's a look at Supreme Court nominations. Under Article II of the Constitution, the President nominates justices to the Supreme Court, with the "advice and consent of the Senate." If a vacancy

Supreme Court Nominations Fast Facts (KESQ13d) Here's a look at Supreme Court nominations. Under Article II of the Constitution, the President nominates justices to the Supreme Court, with the "advice and consent of the Senate." If a vacancy

How the Supreme Court justice nomination process works (WTVD4y) CHICAGO -- President Trump nominated Judge Amy Coney Barrett to replace Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg on the country's high court. After a president nominates someone to the U.S. Supreme Court, the

How the Supreme Court justice nomination process works (WTVD4y) CHICAGO -- President Trump nominated Judge Amy Coney Barrett to replace Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg on the country's high court. After a president nominates someone to the U.S. Supreme Court, the

Biden dodges court-packing questions as Supreme Court nomination moves forward (WTVD4y) PHOENIX -- There are few topics that Joe Biden isn't willing to opine on - except the Supreme Court. The Democratic presidential nominee and his running mate, Kamala Harris, are refusing demands from

Biden dodges court-packing questions as Supreme Court nomination moves forward (WTVD4y) PHOENIX -- There are few topics that Joe Biden isn't willing to opine on - except the Supreme Court. The Democratic presidential nominee and his running mate, Kamala Harris, are refusing demands from

This Day in History: First Supreme Court nominations made on Sept. 24, 1789 (6don MSN) On Sept. 24, 1789, President George Washington announced his six nominees to make up the first Supreme Court of the United States

This Day in History: First Supreme Court nominations made on Sept. 24, 1789 (6don MSN) On Sept. 24, 1789, President George Washington announced his six nominees to make up the first Supreme Court of the United States

Lawmakers from New York, New Jersey and Connecticut react to Amy Coney Barrett nomination to the Supreme Court (abc7NY5y) NEW YORK -- Lawmakers from New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut are offering their reactions to the nomination of Judge Amy Coney Barrett to the U.S. Supreme Court. Amid the COVID-19 health crisis,

Lawmakers from New York, New Jersey and Connecticut react to Amy Coney Barrett nomination to the Supreme Court (abc7NY5y) NEW YORK -- Lawmakers from New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut are offering their reactions to the nomination of Judge Amy Coney Barrett to the U.S. Supreme Court. Amid the COVID-19 health crisis,

'Hypercharged' Is the Only Word for This Supreme Court (8hOpinion) Baude: I am a fan of Justice Barrett's book, but in my view, the best book of all time written by a Supreme Court justice is

'Hypercharged' Is the Only Word for This Supreme Court (8hOpinion) Baude: I am a fan of Justice Barrett's book, but in my view, the best book of all time written by a Supreme Court justice is

NAACP Statement on Pres. Trump's Nomination of Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court (EURweb7y) *BALTIMORE — NAACP, the nation's foremost civil rights organization, issued the

following statement regarding the nomination of Brett Kavanaugh to be Associate Justice of the Supreme Court by

NAACP Statement on Pres. Trump's Nomination of Brett Kavanaugh to the Supreme Court

(EURweb7y) *BALTIMORE — NAACP, the nation's foremost civil rights organization, issued the following statement regarding the nomination of Brett Kavanaugh to be Associate Justice of the Supreme Court by

Rules could be changed within weeks to speed Trump confirmations, GOP senators say

(26d) Democrats have defended their slow-walking of Trump's nominees. Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer said in a statement Wednesday that Republicans are going to "blow-up Senate rules and grease the

Rules could be changed within weeks to speed Trump confirmations, GOP senators say

(26d) Democrats have defended their slow-walking of Trump's nominees. Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer said in a statement Wednesday that Republicans are going to "blow-up Senate rules and grease the

Gould confirmed to seat on NH Supreme Court; Formella approved for another term (New Hampshire Public Radio12d) Bryan Gould, Gov. Kelly Ayotte's nominee for the state's highest court, was confirmed Wednesday. Attorney General John

Gould confirmed to seat on NH Supreme Court; Formella approved for another term (New Hampshire Public Radio12d) Bryan Gould, Gov. Kelly Ayotte's nominee for the state's highest court, was confirmed Wednesday. Attorney General John

Back to Home: <https://ns2.kelisto.es>